**Methodological Appendix Section B**

**Additional Tables**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TABLE A2.1: FACTOR ANALYSES OF HYPOTHESIZED | | | |  |  |
| SOCIAL TIES AND SES HYPOTHESIZED INDICATORS | | | |  |  |
| SOCIAL TIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| FACTOR ANALYSIS CORRELATION | | |  |  |  |
|  | EIGENVALUE | DIFFERENCE |  |  |  |
| FACTOR1 | 1.61 | 0.33 |  |  |  |
| FACTOR2 | 0.43 | 0.36 |  |  |  |
| FACTOR3 | 0.075 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| FACTOR4 | 0.0014 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| FACTOR LOADINGS (PATTERN MATRIX) AND UNIQUE VARIABLES | | | | |  |
| VARIABLE | FACTOR 1 | FACTOR2 | FACTOR3 | FACTOR4 | UNIQUENESS |
| Married | 0.76 | -0.026 | 0.02 | 0.0019 | 0.42 |
| Child Minor | 0.58 | -0.096 | 0.19 | -0.002 | 0.61 |
| Retired Parent | 0.59 | -0.05 | -0.04 | -0.0016 | 0.647 |
| Number of Family In USA | 0.078 | 0.47 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.776 |
| Family Can Sponsor Immigration | 0.105 | 0.453 | 0.051 | 0.003 | 0.78 |
| Years At Job | 0.57 | 0.045 | -0.189 | -0.001 | 0.64 |
| Number of Siblings | 0.03 | -0.05 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.995 |
| LR test: independent vs. saturated: chi2(21) = 1956.07 Prob>chi2 = 0.0000 | | | | |  |
| SES |  |  |  |  |  |
| FACTOR ANALYSIS CORRELATION | | |  |  |  |
|  | EIGENVALUE | DIFFERENCE |  |  |  |
| FACTOR1 | 1.8 | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| FACTOR2 | 0.3 | 0.21 |  |  |  |
| FACTOR3 | 0.088 | 0.14 |  |  |  |
| FACTOR LOADINGS (PATTERN MATRIX) AND UNIQUE VARIABLES | | | | |  |
| VARIABLE | FACTOR 1 | FACTOR2 | FACTOR3 | UNIQUENESS |  |
| Monthly Income | 0.347 | 0.23 | -0.12 | 0.81 |  |
| Years of Education | 0.45 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.72 |  |
| Other Paid Trip | -0.48 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.75 |  |
| Asset Wealth in Real Estate | 0.27 | 0.19 | -0.16 | 0.87 |  |
| Occupational Prestige | 0.81 | -0.1 | 0.08 | 0.32 |  |
| Satisfaction w/ income | 0.72 | -0.19 | 0.0008 | 0.45 |  |
| Selective School | 0.045 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.89 |  |
| LR test: independent vs. saturated: chi2(21) = 1.2e+05 Prob>chi2 = 0.0000 | | | | |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TABLE A2.2: Pairwise Correlations of Pearson Correlations With Bonferroni Adjustments | | | | | | | |
| and Significance Tests For Vars With Corr >0.3 （imputed data） | | | | | | | |
| |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | age | years on job | years of education | occupational prestige | logged income | | age | / |  |  |  |  | | years on job | 0.75\* | / |  |  |  | | years of education | -0.13\* | 0.09\* | / |  |  | | occupational prestige | 0.45\* | 0.45\* | 0.42\* | / |  | | logged income | 0.06\* | 0.4\* | 0.2\* | 0.22\* | / |   TABLE A2.3: Pairwise Correlations With Bonferroni Adjustments | | | | | | |  |
| and Significance Tests For Vars With Corr >0.3 （Non-imputed data） | | | | | | | |
|  | age | years on job | years of education | occupational prestige | logged income |
| age | / |  |  |  |  |
| years on job | 0.74\* | / |  |  |  |
| years of education | 0.14\* | 0.09\* | / |  |  |
| selective school? | -0.09 | -0.1\* | 0.35 |  |  |
| occupational prestige | 0.45\* | 0.466\* | 0.42\* | / |  |
| logged income | -0.08 | -0.094\* | 0.14\* | 0.062 | / |

TABLE A2.4: VARIABLES WITH MEDIUM AND STRONG BISERIAL CORRELATIONS (IMPUTED DATA)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Binary Variable** | |  | **Continuous Variables** | | **Correlation** | **p-value** |
| studied/studying STEM | | | years of education | | 0.51 | <0.00 |
| married |  |  | years working current job | | 0.48 | <0.00 |
| married |  |  | occupational prestige | | 0.44 | <0.00 |
| parent retired | |  | age |  | 0.4 | <0.00 |
| parent retired | |  | years working current job | | 0.36 | <0.00 |
| parent retired | |  | occupational prestige | | 0.4 | <0.00 |

**TABLE A2.5: PROPORTION OF INDIRECT EFFECT THAT GOES THROUGH THE THREE STRONGEST INDICATORS OF IMMOBILITY CAPITAL MEDIATING INTEREST IN IMMIGRATING AND VISA ACQUISITION, JOINT MEDIATION MODEL**

| **Variable** | **Correlation** | **Percentage** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Attended selective school | 0.3600 | 36.0% |
| OECD visa obtained | 0.3414 | 34.1% |
| Years of education | 0.2986 | 29.9% |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | TABLE A2.6 LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, SOCIAL TIES, AND INTERVIEW VARIABLES BEIJING & CHENGDU CONSULATES WITH WEIGHTS FOR ALL APPLICANTS  DV: U.S. Nonimmigrant Visa Granted |  | Predictors | M1:OR | M2:OR | M3:OR | M4:OR | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | (Intercept) | 1.58\*\*\* (0.24) | 0.07\*\*\* (0.05) | 0.09\*\* (0.07) | 0.03\*\*\* (0.03) | | age | 1.00 (0.00) | 1.01\* (0.00) | 1.00 (0.01) | 0.99 (0.01) | | male | 0.82\*\*\* (0.07) | 0.80 (0.07) | 0.82\* (0.08) | 0.82\* (0.08) | | pregnant | 1.21 (0.87) | 1.08 (1.16) | 1.07 (1.07) | 1.08 (1.10) | | foreigner | 0.90 (0.48) | 0.62 (0.33) | 0.63 (0.34) | 0.55 (0.29) | | household registered in Fujian province | 0.28\*\*\* (0.13) | 0.24\*\*\* (0.11) | 0.24\*\*\* (0.11) | 0.25\*\*\* (0.11) | | internal migrant | 0.88 (0.09) | 0.85 (0.09) | 0.88 (0.09) | 0.92 (0.10) | | used a visa agent | 0.78\* (0.07) | 0.86 (0.08) | 0.86 (0.08) | 0.87 (0.09) | | disadvantaged ethnic minority | 0.57\* (0.16) | 0.62† (0.18) | 0.67 (0.20) | 0.63 (0.20) | | Would immigrate to US if chance | 1.89\*\*\* (0.26) | 1.64\*\* (0.23) | 1.68\*\*\* (0.24) | 1.74\*\*\* (0.25) | | someone else financing for trip to the US |  | 1.27\* (0.14) | 1.26\* (0.14) | 1.24† (0.14) | | obtained visa for travel to OECD country |  | 1.55\*\*\* (0.16) | 1.57\*\*\* (0.16) | 1.51\*\*\* (0.16) | | occupational prestige score (SIOPS) |  | 0.99\* (0.00) | 0.99\*\* (0.00) | 0.99\* (0.00) | | logged income |  | 1.06 (0.06) | 1.07 (0.06) | 1.05 (0.06) | | years of education |  | 1.13\*\*\* (0.02) | 1.14\*\*\* (0.02) | 1.13\*\*\* (0.02) | | attended selective school |  | 1.70\* (0.30) | 1.71\* (0.30) | 1.71\* (0.30) | | studied/studying STEM |  | 1.36\* (0.14) | 1.34\* (0.14) | 1.37\* (0.15) | | logged wealth in real estate property |  | 1.04 (0.06) | 1.03 (0.06) | 1.03 (0.06) | | married |  |  | 1.31\* (0.18) | 1.27† (0.17) | | number of siblings |  |  | 0.91\* (0.04) | 0.91\* (0.04) | | years working at current job |  |  | 1.03\*\* (0.01) | 1.02\*\* (0.01) | | parent of a child younger than 20 |  |  | 0.69\*\* (0.09) | 0.69\*\* (0.09) | | child of a parent older than 60 |  |  | 1.12 (0.13) | 1.14 (0.13) | | family member in the US can petition-sponsor them for immigrant visa |  |  | 0.41\* (0.16) | 0.41\* (0.16) | | level of confidence during interview |  |  |  | 1.02\*\*\* (0.00) | | White officer |  |  |  | 1.16 (0.13) | | male officer |  |  |  | 1.10 (0.11) | | N | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 | | BIC | 3,478.220 | 3,338.273 | 3,310.450 | 3,256.229 |   Note: †p<0.1, \* p <0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < .001 (two-tailed tests). OR=Odds Ratios, M=model. Standard errors in parentheses.  Source: Analysis of visa application data from Beijing and Chengdu consulates  TABLE 2.7  AVERAGE MARGINAL EFFECTS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA  ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, ST, & |
| INTERVIEW VARIABLES FOR ALL APPLICANTS (MODELS 1-4), WEIGHTED DATA |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | M1:AME | M2:AME | M3:AME | MA:AME |
| age | -0.004  (0.002) | 0.024\* (0.00) | -0.006 (0.006) | -0018 (0.001) |
| male | -0.043 (0.037) | 0.85 (0.07) | -0.14 (0.088) | -0.031 (0.019 |
| pregnant | -0.232 (0.283) | 0.89 (0.67) | -0.17 (0.75) | -0.041 (0.158) |
| foreigner | 0.131 (0.291) | 0.50 (0.26) | -0.68 (0.53) | -0.176 (0.1123) |
| household registered in Fujian province | -0.498\*\* (0.351) | 0.29\*\* (0.13) | -1.22\*\* (0.45) | -0.247\* (0.098) |
| internal migrant | -0.077\* (0.041) | 0.81\* (0.08) | -0.18 (0.099) | -0.03 (0.021) |
| used a visa agent | -0.015\*\* (0.038) | -0.036 (0.08) | -0.18 (0.08) | -0.036 (0.02) |
| disadvantaged ethnic minority | 0.071\* (0.105) | -0.1059 (0.17) | -0.41 (0.27) | -0.096 (0.058) |
| Would immigrate to US if chance | 0.173\*\*\* (0.054) | 0.122\*\*\* (0.029) | 0.57\*\*\* (0.23) | 0.129\*\*\* (0.028) |
| someone else financing for trip to the US |  | 0.062\*\* (0.0228) | 0.27\* (0.104) | 0.538\* (0.022) |
| obtained visa for travel to OECD country |  | 0.082\*\*\* (0.02) | 0.378\*\*\* (0.096) | 0.07\*\* (0.02) |
| occupational prestige score (SIOPS) |  | -0.001 (0.0001) | -0.003\* (0.003) | -0.001 (0.0006) |
| logged income |  | 0.015 (0.012) | 0.089 (0.053) | 0.014 (0.0114) |
| years of education |  | 0.025\*\*\* (0.004) | 0.124\*\*\* (0.2) | 0.024\*\*\* (0.004) |
| attended selective school |  | 0.122\*\* (0.035) | 0.548\*\* (0.161) | 0.112\*\* (0.034) |
| studied/studying STEM |  | 0.067\*\* (0.022) | 0.296\*\* (0.102) | 0.0685\*\* (0.0218) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 0.002 (0.012) | 0.011 (0.05) | 0.0019 (0.012) |
| married |  |  | 0.255\* (0.129) | 0.047 (0.028) |
| number of siblings |  |  | -0.062 (0.04) | -0.0137 (0.088) |
| years working at current job |  |  | 0.028\*\*\* (0.07) | 0.005\*\* (0.002) |
| parent of a child younger than 20 |  |  | -0.411\*\* (0.0121) | -0.089\*\* (0.026) |
| child of a parent older than 60 |  |  | 0.047 (0.12) | 0.015 (0.023) |
| family member in the US can petition-sponsor them for immigrant visa |  |  | -0.71\* (0.34) | -0.15\* (0.074) |
| level of confidence during interview |  |  |  | 0.033\*\*\* (0.004) |
| White officer |  |  |  | 0.039 (0.022) |
| male officer |  |  |  | 0.01 (0.02) |
| N | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 |
| BIC | 3,478.220 | 3,338.273 | 3,310.450 | 3,256.229 |

.

Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (AME =average model effects, M=model, AIC=Akaike Information Criteria)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \*TABLE A2.8  ODDS RATIOS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA ACQUISITION ON | | | | | | | |
| CONTROLS, SES, ST, & INTERVIEW VARIABLES FOR ALL APPLICANTS (MODELS 1-4), UNWEIGHTED DATA | | | | | | | |

| **Variables** | **M1:OR** | **M2:OR** | **M3:OR** | **M4:OR** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| male | 1.000 (0.004) | 1.009\* (0.004) | 0.994 (0.007) | 0.991 (0.007) |
| pregnant | 0.854† (0.072) | 0.841\* (0.074) | 0.854† (0.076) | 0.851† (0.076) |
| foreigner | 0.939 (0.649) | 0.796 (0.598) | 0.748 (0.554) | 0.745 (0.557) |
| from Fujian province | 0.802 (0.410) | 0.543 (0.289) | 0.564 (0.302) | 0.462 (0.251) |
| internal migrant | 0.323\*\* (0.139) | 0.284\*\* (0.130) | 0.300\*\* (0.137) | 0.320\* (0.149) |
| used agent | 0.814† (0.086) | 0.792\* (0.085) | 0.809† (0.088) | 0.832† (0.092) |
| disadvantaged ethnicity | 0.761\*\* (0.069) | 0.826\* (0.077) | 0.816\* (0.077) | 0.817\* (0.078) |
| would immigrate if chance | 0.554\* (0.147) | 0.602† (0.164) | 0.647 (0.180) | 0.628† (0.176) |
| other paid for trip | 1.900\*\*\* (0.278) | 1.742\*\*\* (0.269) | 1.789\*\*\* (0.282) | 1.861\*\*\* (0.301) |
| obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 1.374\*\* (0.146) | 1.354\*\* (0.145) | 1.328\*\* (0.144) |
| occupational prestige |  | 1.422\*\*\* (0.138) | 1.432\*\*\* (0.141) | 1.369\*\* (0.137) |
| logged income |  | 0.992\*\*\* (0.002) | 0.990\*\*\* (0.002) | 0.991\*\*\* (0.002) |
| years of education |  | 1.113 (0.075) | 1.139† (0.078) | 1.117 (0.078) |
| attended highly selective school |  | 1.125\*\*\* (0.022) | 1.139\*\*\* (0.023) | 1.127\*\*\* (0.023) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 1.738\*\*\* (0.290) | 1.705\*\* (0.287) | 1.680\*\* (0.286) |
| studied/studying STEM |  | 1.351\*\* (0.143) | 1.333\*\* (0.143) | 1.361\*\* (0.147) |
| married |  | 1.053 (0.065) | 1.058 (0.067) | 1.051 (0.067) |
| number of siblings |  |  | 1.264† (0.166) | 1.226 (0.163) |
| years working at job |  |  | 0.951 (0.040) | 0.945 (0.041) |
| parent of child<20 |  |  | 1.027\*\*\* (0.008) | 1.024\*\* (0.008) |
| child of parent>59 |  |  | 0.647\*\*\* (0.080) | 0.640\*\*\* (0.080) |
| family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | 0.983 (0.114) | 0.996 (0.117) |
| level of applicant's confidence |  |  | 0.515† (0.186) | 0.515† (0.189) |
| white officer |  |  |  | 1.015\*\*\* (0.002) |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| male officer |  |  |  | 1.180 (0.128) |
| **N** | **2947** | **2947** | **2947** | **2947** |
| **BIC** | **3248.2** | **3166.5** | **3177.2** | **3146.5** |

Notes: †p<0.1, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<.001 (two-tailed tests). Odds ratios shown with delta method standard errors in parentheses. BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | \*TABLE A2.9 |  |  |  |  |
| AVERAGE MARGINAL EFFECTS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA | | | | | | | |
| ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, ST, AND INTERVIEW VARIABLES FOR ALL APPLICANTS (MODELS 1-4), UNWEIGHTED DATA | | | | | | | |

| **Variables** | **M1:AME** | **M2:AME** | **M3:AME** | **M4:AME** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| age | 0.000 (0.001) | 0.002\* (0.001) | -0.001 (0.001) | -0.002 (0.001) |
| used agent | -0.065\*\* (0.021) | -0.042\* (0.021) | -0.045\* (0.021) | -0.043\* (0.021) |
| disadvantaged ethnicity | -0.143\* (0.064) | -0.115† (0.062) | -0.097 (0.063) | -0.101 (0.062) |
| foreigner | -0.053 (0.124) | -0.139 (0.121) | -0.128 (0.120) | -0.168 (0.117) |
| from Fujian province | -0.269\*\* (0.094) | -0.279\*\* (0.092) | -0.265\*\* (0.093) | -0.247\*\* (0.095) |
| would immigrate if chance | 0.150\*\*\* (0.034) | 0.123\*\*\* (0.034) | 0.126\*\*\* (0.034) | 0.132\*\*\* (0.034) |
| male | -0.037† (0.020) | -0.038\* (0.019) | -0.034† (0.019) | -0.034† (0.019) |
| internal migrant | -0.049† (0.025) | -0.052\* (0.024) | -0.047† (0.024) | -0.039† (0.024) |
| pregnant | -0.015 (0.164) | -0.051 (0.170) | -0.064 (0.166) | -0.064 (0.164) |
| years of education |  | 0.026\*\*\* (0.004) | 0.028\*\*\* (0.004) | 0.025\*\*\* (0.004) |
| obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 0.077\*\*\* (0.021) | 0.078\*\*\* (0.021) | 0.066\*\* (0.021) |
| studied/studying STEM |  | 0.067\*\* (0.024) | 0.063\*\* (0.024) | 0.066\*\* (0.023) |
| logged income |  | 0.024 (0.015) | 0.028† (0.015) | 0.023 (0.015) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 0.011 (0.014) | 0.012 (0.014) | 0.010 (0.014) |
| occupational prestige |  | -0.002\*\*\* (0.000) | -0.002\*\*\* (0.001) | -0.002\*\*\* (0.000) |
| other paid for trip |  | 0.070\*\* (0.023) | 0.066\*\* (0.023) | 0.060\*\* (0.023) |
| attended highly selective school |  | 0.117\*\*\* (0.033) | 0.111\*\*\* (0.033) | 0.106\*\* (0.033) |
| parent of child<20 |  |  | -0.096\*\*\* (0.027) | -0.096\*\*\* (0.027) |
| family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | -0.148† (0.081) | -0.145† (0.080) |
| years working at job |  |  | 0.006\*\*\* (0.002) | 0.005\*\* (0.002) |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Married |  |  | 0.051† (0.028) | 0.043 (0.028) |
| number of siblings |  |  | -0.011 (0.009) | -0.012 (0.009) |
| child of parent>59 |  |  | -0.004 (0.025) | -0.001 (0.025) |
| level of applicant's confidence |  |  |  | 0.003\*\*\* (0.000) |
| male officer |  |  |  | 0.012 (0.020) |
| white officer |  |  |  | 0.035 (0.023) |
| **N** | **2947** | **2947** | **2947** | **2947** |
| **BIC** | **3248.2** | **3166.5** | **3177.2** | **3146.5** |

Notes: †p<0.1, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<.001 (two-tailed tests). Average marginal effects shown with standard errors in parentheses. BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion.

TABLE A2.10

ODDS RATIOS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION MODELS 5-8 OF VISA ACQUISITION ON SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC TRAITS AND INDICATORS OF SES AND SOCIAL TIES, ALL APPLICANTS, (MODELS 5-8) WEIGHTED DATA

| Variables | M5:OR | M6:OR | M7:OR | M8:LPM estimates |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| (Intercept) | 0.04\*\*\* (0.03) | 0.02\*\*\* (0.02) | 0.03\*\* (0.03) | -0.22\*\*\* (0.17) |
| age | 1.00 (0.01) | 1.00 (0.01) | 0.98 (0.01) | -0.00 (0.00) |
| male | 0.83\*\* (0.08) | 0.82\*\* (0.08) | 1.33 (0.38) | 0.06 (0.06) |
| pregnant | 1.15 (1.17) | 1.06 (1.12) | 1.23 (1.32) | 0.04 (0.21) |
| foreigner | 0.55 (0.30) | 0.56 (0.30) | 0.62 (0.34) | -0.10 (0.11) |
| household registered in Fujian province | 0.23\*\* (0.11) | 0.24\*\* (0.11) | 0.24\*\* (0.11) | -0.29\*\*\* (0.09) |
| internal migrant | 0.92 (0.10) | 0.93 (0.10) | 0.94 (0.10) | -0.01 (0.02) |
| used a visa agent | 0.86 (0.09) | 0.87 (0.09) | 0.87 (0.09) | -0.03 (0.02) |
| disadvantaged ethnic minority | 0.61 (0.19) | 0.61 (0.19) | 0.67 (0.21) | -0.09 (0.07) |
| Would immigrate to US if chance | 1.76\*\*\* (0.26) | 1.73\*\*\* (0.25) | 1.77 (0.26) | 0.11 (0.03) |
| someone else financing trip to the US | 1.24 (0.14) | 1.24 (0.14) | 1.20 (0.14) | 0.04 (0.02) |
| obtained visa for travel to OECD country | 1.50\*\*\* (0.16) | 1.47\*\*\* (0.15) | 1.36 (0.73) | 0.11 (0.10) |
| occupational prestige score (SIOPS) | 0.99\* (0.00) | 0.99\*\* (0.00) | 0.99 (0.00) | -0.00 (0.00) |
| logged income | 1.15 (0.34) | 1.05 (0.06) | 1.06 (0.06) | 0.01 (0.01) |
| years of education | 1.11\*\*\* (0.02) | 1.13\*\*\* (0.02) | 1.13\*\*\* (0.03) | 0.03\*\*\* (0.00) |
| attended selective school | 1.71\*\* (0.30) | 1.64\*\* (0.29) | 1.69\*\* (0.30) | 0.10\*\* (0.03) |
| Logged wealth in real estate property | 1.03 (0.06) | 1.01 (0.06) | 1.03 (0.06) | 0.01 (0.01) |
| married | 0.74 (0.18) | 1.32\* (0.18) | 0.44 (0.20) | -0.18 (0.09) |
| number of siblings | 0.91\* (0.04) | 0.91\* (0.04) | 0.90 (0.06) | -0.02 (0.01) |
| years working at current job | 1.02\*\* (0.01) | 1.02\*\*\* (0.01) | 1.02\* (0.01) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| parent of a child younger than 20 | 0.66\*\* (0.09) | 0.70 (0.09) | 1.13 (0.75) | 0.01 (0.14) |
| child of a parent older than 59 | 1.12\*\* (0.13) | 1.14\*\* (0.13) | 1.03 (0.43) | 0.01 (0.08) |
| family member in the US can petition-sponsor them for immigrant visa | 0.42 (0.16) | 0.39 (0.16) | 0.40\* (0.16) | -0.19\* (0.09) |
| level of confidence during interview | 1.02\*\*\* (0.00) | 1.01\*\*\* (0.00) | 1.02\*\*\* (0.00) | 1.00\*\*\* (0.00) |
| White officer | 1.17 (0.13) | 1.15 (0.13) | 1.15 (0.13) | 0.03 (0.02) |
| male officer | 1.10 (0.11) | 1.09 (0.11) | 1.10 (0.11) | 0.02 (0.02) |
| Spouse's years of education | 1.07 (0.05) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's occupational prestige | 1.01 (0.00) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's years at current job | 1.00 (0.00) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's logged monthly income | 0.91 (0.27) |  |  |  |
| Parent's occupational prestige |  | 1.01\* (0.00) |  |  |
| Parent's years of education |  | 1.02 (0.01) |  |  |
| Parent's obtained visa to other OECD country |  | 1.05 (0.13) |  |  |
| obtained visa to other OECD country\*years of education |  |  | 1.01 (0.04) | -0.00 (0.01) |
| Age\*parent of a child minor |  |  | 0.97 (0.02) | -0.01 (0.00) |
| Male\*parent of a child minor |  |  | 0.79 (0.20) | -0.05 (0.05) |
| age\*male |  |  | 0.99 (0.01) | -0.00 (0.00) |
| Married\*age |  |  | 1.03\* (0.01) | 0.01\* (0.00) |
| Married\*parent of child minor |  |  | 1.77† (0.76) | 0.13† (0.08) |
| Married\*male |  |  | 0.91 (0.24) | -0.02 (0.05) |
| Child of a retired parent \* number of siblings |  |  | 1.02 (0.09) | 0.00 (0.02) |
| Child of retired parent\*age |  |  | 1.00 (0.01) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| Child of retired parent \*parent of child minor |  |  | 1.47 (0.39) | 0.08 (0.05) |
| Observations | 2,397 | 2,397 | 2,397 | 2,397 |
| AIC | 3,253.035 | 3,247.039 | 3,246.522 | 3,306.596 |

Notes: †p<0.1, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<.001 (two-tailed tests) (OR=odds ratios, LPM=linear probability model, AIC=Akaike Information Criteria)

TABLE A2.11

AVERAGE MARGINAL EFFECTS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION MODELS 5-8 OF VISA ACQUISITION N SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC TRAITS AND INDICATORS OF SES AND SOCIAL TIES, ALL APPLICANTS (MODELS 5-8), WEIGHTED DATA

| Variables | M5:AME | M6:AME | M7:AME | M8:AME from LPM estimates |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| age | -0.001 (0.01) | -0.001 (0.01) | 0.004 (0.003) | 0.004 (0.003) |
| male | -0.039\*\* (0.02) | -0.041\*\* (0.08) | 0.06 (0.06) | 0.06 (0.06) |
| pregnant | 0.029 (0.214) | 0.012 (0.024) | 0.043 (0.224) | 0.043 (0.21) |
| foreigner | -0.125 (0.115) | -0.122 (0.111) | -0.1 (0.115) | -0.10 (0.11) |
| household registered in Fujian province | -0.306\*\*\* (0.097) | -0.302\*\*\* (0.094) | -0.296\*\*\* (0.115) | -0.296\*\*\* (0.09) |
| internal migrant | -0.017 (0.022) | -0.014 (0.0225) | -0.012 (0.023) | -0.012 (0.02) |
| used a visa agent | -0.032 (0.021) | 0.028 (0.021) | -0.03 (0.002) | -0.03 (0.02) |
| disadvantaged ethnic minority | -0.105 (0.066) | -0.105 (0.066) | -0.085 (0.067) | -0.09 (0.07) |
| Would immigrate to US if chance | 0.118\*\*\* (0.03) | 0.115\*\*\* (0.03) | 0.12 (0.03) | 0.12 (0.03) |
| someone else financing trip to the US | 0.045 (0.023) | 0.046 (0.024) | 0.038 (0.14) | 0.04 (0.02) |
| obtained visa for travel to OECD country | 0.085\*\*\* (0.022) | 0.08\*\*\* (0.022) | 0.002 (0.113) | 0.002 (0.10) |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| occupational prestige score (SIOPS) | -0.002\* (0.001) | -0.001\*\* (0.001) | -0.001 (0.001) | -0.001 (0.00) |
| logged income | 0.03 (0.062) | 0.01 (0.009) | 0.013 (0.012) | 0.013 (0.01) |
| years of education | 0.022\*\*\* (0.004) | 0.025\*\*\* (0.004) | 0.026\*\*\* (0.005) | 0.026\*\*\* (0.00) |
| attended selective school | 0.11\*\* (0.037) | 0.104\*\* (0.037) | 0.11\*\* (0.037) | 0.10\*\* (0.03) |
| studied/studying STEM | 0.062\*\* (0.023) | 0.064\*\* (0.023) | 0.066\*\* (0.023) | 0.07\*\* (0.02) |
| logged wealth in real estate property | 0.0054 (0.012) | 0.002 (0.012) | 0.006 (0.012) | 0.006 (0.01) |
| married | -0.063 (0.051) | 0.058\* (0.029) | -0.17 (0.094) | -0.17 (0.09) |
| number of siblings | -0.021\* (0.009) | -0.021\* (0.009) | -0.022 (0.013) | -0.022 (0.01) |
| years working at current job | 0.004\*\* (0.002) | 0.004\*\*\* (0.0017) | 0.004\* (0.002) | 0.004 (0.00) |
| parent of a child younger than 20 | -0.086\*\* (0.027) | -0.076 (0.027) | 0.026 (0.138) | 0.026 (0.14) |
| child of a parent older than 59 | 0.023\*\* (0.024) | 0.027\*\* (0.024) | 0.007 (0.086) | 0.007 (0.08) |
| family member in the US can petition-sponsor them for immigrant visa | -0.118 (0.03) | -0.195 (0.08) | -0.189\* (0.084) | -0.189\* (0.09) |
| level of confidence during interview | 0.003\*\*\* (0.001) | 0.003\*\*\* (0.001) | 0.003\*\*\* (0.0005) | 0.003\*\*\* (0.00) |
| White officer | 0.033 (0.023) | 0.029 (0.023) | 0.029 (0.023) | 0.029 (0.02) |
| male officer | 0.019 (0.02) | -0.041 (0.019) | 0.021 (0.021) | 0.021 (0.02) |
| Spouse's years of education | 0.015 (0.01) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's occupational prestige | 0.0012 (0.001) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's years at current job | 0.001 (0.001) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's logged monthly income | -0.02 (0.063) |  |  |  |
| Parent's occupational prestige |  | 0.004\* (0.003) |  |  |
| Parent's years of education |  | 0.004 (0.003) |  |  |
| Parent's obtained visa to other OECD country |  | 0.01 (0.026) |  |  |
| obtained visa to other OECD country\*years of education |  |  | -0.002 (0.11) | -0.00 (0.01) |
| Age\*parent of a child minor |  |  | -0.03† (0.016) | -0.01† (0.00) |
| Male\*parent of a child minor |  |  | -0.12 (0.089) | -0.05 (0.05) |
| age\*male |  |  | -0.003 (0.0021) | -0.00 (0.00) |
| Married\*age |  |  | 0.007\* (0.003) | 0.01\* (0.00) |
| Married\*parent of child minor |  |  | 0.12 (0.089) | 0.13 (0.08) |
| Married\*male |  |  | -0.02 (0.056) | -0.02 (0.05) |
| Child of a retired parent \* number of siblings |  |  | 0.003 (0.019) | 0.001 (0.02) |
| Child of retired parent\*age |  |  | 0.003 (0.002) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| Child of retired parent \*parent of child minor |  |  | 0.08 (0.055) | 0.08 (0.05) |
| Observations | 2,397 | 2,397 | 2,397 | 2,397 |
| BIC | 3,253.035 | 3,247.039 | 3,246.522 | 3,306.596 |

Notes: †p<0.1, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<.001 (two-tailed tests) (AME=average marginal effects, M=model, BIC=Bayesian Information Criterion)

TABLE A2.13: ODDS RATIOS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION MODELS 5-8 OF VISA ACQUISITION ON SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC TRAITS AND INDICATORS OF SES AND SOCIAL TIES, ALL APPLICANTS (MODELS 5-8), UNWEIGHTED DATA

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variables** | **M5:OR** | **M6:OR** | **M7:OR** | **M8:LPM estimates** |
| (Intercept) | 0.04\*\*\* (0.03) | 0.02\*\*\* (0.02) | 0.03\*\* (0.03) | -0.22\*\*\* (0.17) |
| age | 0.99 (0.01) | 0.99 (0.01) | 0.98† (0.01) | 0† (0) |
| male | 0.86† (0.08) | 0.86† (0.08) | 1.29 (0.36) | 0.05 (0.06) |
| pregnant | 0.76 (0.58) | 0.71 (0.53) | 0.85 (0.65) | -0.04 (0.16) |
| foreigner | 0.45 (0.25) | 0.47 (0.25) | 0.52 (0.29) | -0.14 (0.11) |
| household registered in Fujian province | 0.31\* (0.15) | 0.32\* (0.15) | 0.31\* (0.15) | -0.24\*\* (0.09) |
| internal migrant | 0.83† (0.09) | 0.84 (0.09) | 0.85 (0.09) | -0.04 (0.02) |
| used a visa agent | 0.81\* (0.08) | 0.82\* (0.08) | 0.81\* (0.08) | -0.04\* (0.02) |
| disadvantaged ethnic minority | 0.59† (0.19) | 0.62† (0.17) | 0.65 (0.18) | -0.1 (0.06) |
| Would immigrate to US if chance | 1.87\*\*\* (0.31) | 1.85\*\*\* (0.3) | 1.88\*\*\* (0.31) | 0.13\*\*\* (0.03) |
| someone else financing trip to the US | 1.33\* (0.15) | 1.33\*\* (0.14) | 1.29\* (0.14) | 0.05\* (0.02) |
| obtained visa for travel to OECD country | 1.36\*\* (0.14) | 1.35\*\* (0.14) | 1.17 (0.61) | 0.08 (0.11) |
| occupational prestige score (SIOPS) | 0.99\*\*\* (0) | 0.99\*\*\* (0) | 0.99\*\* (0) | 0\*\* (0) |
| logged income | 0.89 (0.36) | 1.11 (0.08) | 1.13† (0.08) | 0.02† (0.01) |
| years of education | 1.11\*\*\* (0.02) | 1.13\*\*\* (0.02) | 1.13\*\*\* (0.03) | 0.03\*\*\* (0) |
| attended selective school | 1.72\*\* (0.3) | 1.63\*\* (0.28) | 1.67\*\* (0.29) | 0.1\*\* (0.03) |
| logged wealth in real estate property | 1.05 (0.07) | 1.04 (0.07) | 1.05 (0.07) | 0.01 (0.01) |
| studied/studying STEM | 1.35\*\* (0.15) | 1.36\*\* (0.15) | 1.38\*\* (0.15) | 0.07\*\* (0.02) |
| married | 0.71 (0.17) | 1.27† (0.17) | 0.4\* (0.17) | -0.2\* (0.09) |
| number of siblings | 0.95 (0.04) | 0.95 (0.04) | 0.95 (0.06) | -0.01 (0.01) |
| years working at current job | 1.02\*\* (0.01) | 1.02\*\* (0.01) | 1.02\*\* (0.01) | 0\*\* (0) |
| parent of a child younger than 20 | 0.61\*\*\* (0.08) | 0.64\*\*\* (0.08) | 1.04 (0.7) | 0 (0.14) |
| child of a parent older than 59 | 0.98 (0.12) | 0.99 (0.12) | 0.9 (0.36) | -0.02 (0.08) |
| family member in the US can petition-sponsor them for immigrant visa | 0.51† (0.19) | 0.5† (0.19) | 0.52† (0.19) | -0.14† (0.08) |
| level of confidence during interview | 1.01\*\*\* (0) | 1.01\*\*\* (0) | 1.01\*\*\* (0) | 0\*\*\* (0) |
| White officer | 1.19 (0.13) | 1.17 (0.13) | 1.17 (0.13) | 0.04 (0.02) |
| male officer | 1.07 (0.1) | 1.05 (0.1) | 1.06 (0.1) | 0.01 (0.02) |
| Spouse's years of education | 1.08 (0.05) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's occupational prestige | 1.01 (0) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's years at current job | 1 (0.01) |  |  |  |
| Spouse's logged monthly income | 1.27 (0.51) |  |  |  |
| Parent's occupational prestige |  | 1.01\*\* (0) |  |  |
| Parent's obtained visa to other OECD country |  | 1.09 (0.13) |  |  |
| obtained visa to other OECD country\*years of education |  |  | 1.01 (0.03) | 0 (0.01) |
| Age\*parent of a child minor |  |  | 0.98 (0.01) | -0.01 (0) |
| Male\*parent of a child minor |  |  | 0.83 (0.2) | -0.04 (0.05) |
| age\*male |  |  |  |  |
| Married\*age |  |  |  |  |
| Married\*parent of a child minor |  |  | 1.66 (0.68) | 0.11 (0.08) |
| Married\*male |  |  |  |  |
| Child of a retired parent \* number of siblings |  |  |  |  |
| Child of retired parent\*age |  |  |  |  |
| Child of retired parent \*parent of a child minor |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 |
| BIC | 3159.775 | 3150.625 | 3203.061 | 3365.325 |

Notes: †p<0.1, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<.001 (two-tailed tests) (OR=odds ratios, LPM=linear probability model, BIC=Bayesian Information Criterion)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TABLE A2.13  AVERAGE MARGINAL EFFECTS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION MODELS 5-8 OF VISA ACQUISITION N SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC TRAITS AND INDICATORS OF SES AND SOCIAL TIES, ALL APPLICANTS (MODELS 5-8), UNWEIGHTED DATA | | | | |
| Variables | M5:OR | M6:OR | M7:OR | M8:LPM estimates |
| (Intercept) | -3.12\*\*\* (0.74) | 0.02\*\*\* (0.02) | 0.03\*\* (0.03) | -0.22\*\*\* (0.17) |
| age | -0.005 (-0.138) | 1.00 (0.01) | 0.98 (0.01) | -0.00 (0.00) |
| male | -0.137 (0.09) | 0.82\* (0.08) | 1.33 (0.38) | 0.06 (0.06) |
| pregnant | -. (1.17) | 1.06 (1.12) | 1.23 (1.32) | 0.04 (0.21) |
| foreigner | 0.55 (0.30) | 0.56 (0.30) | 0.62 (0.34) | -0.10 (0.11) |
| household registered in Fujian province | 0.23\*\* (0.11) | 0.24\*\* (0.11) | 0.24\*\* (0.11) | -0.29\*\* (0.09) |
| internal migrant | 0.92 (0.10) | 0.93 (0.10) | 0.94 (0.10) | -0.01 (0.02) |
| used a visa agent | 0.86 (0.09) | 0.87 (0.09) | 0.87 (0.09) | -0.03 (0.02) |
| disadvantaged ethnic minority | 0.61 (0.19) | 0.61 (0.19) | 0.67 (0.21) | -0.09 (0.07) |
| Would immigrate to US if chance | 1.76\*\*\* (0.26) | 1.73\*\*\* (0.25) | 1.77 (0.26) | 0.11 (0.03) |
| someone else financing trip to the US | 1.24 (0.14) | 1.24 (0.14) | 1.20 (0.14) | 0.04 (0.02) |
| obtained visa for travel to OECD country | 1.50\*\*\* (0.16) | 1.47\*\*\* (0.15) | 1.36 (0.73) | 0.11 (0.10) |
| occupational prestige score (SIOPS) | 0.99\*  (0.00) | 0.99\* (0.00) | 0.99 (0.00) | -0.00 (0.00) |
| logged income | 1.15 (0.34) | 1.05 (0.06) | 1.06 (0.06) | 0.01 (0.01) |
| satisfied with income | 0.78 (0.11) | 0.77 (0.11) | 0.78 (0.11) | -0.05 (0.03) |
| years of education | 1.11\*\*\* (0.02) | 1.13\*\*\* (0.02) | 1.13\*\*\* (0.03) | 0.03\*\*\* (0.00) |
| attended selective school | 1.71\*\* (0.30) | 1.64\*\* (0.29) | 1.69\*\* (0.30) | 0.10\*\* (0.03) |
| studied/studying STEM | 1.34\*\* (0.15) | 1.35\*\* (0.15) | 1.37\*\* (0.15) | 0.07\*\* (0.02) |
| logged wealth in real estate property | 1.03  (0.06) | 1.01 (0.06) | 1.03 (0.06) | 0.01 (0.01) |
| married | 0.74 (0.18) | 1.32\* (0.18) | 0.44 (0.20) | -0.18 (0.09) |
| number of siblings | 0.91\* (0.04) | 0.91\* (0.04) | 0.90 (0.06) | -0.02 (0.01) |
| years working at current job | 1.02\*\* (0.01) | 1.02\*\* (0.01) | 1.02\* (0.01) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| parent of a child younger than 20 | 0.66\*\* (0.09) | 0.70 (0.09) | 1.13 (0.75) | 0.01 (0.14) |
| child of a parent older than 59 | 1.12\*\* (0.13) | 1.14\*\* (0.13) | 1.03 (0.43) | 0.01 (0.08) |
| family member in the US can petition-sponsor them for immigrant visa | 0.42 (0.16) | 0.39 (0.16) | 0.40\* (0.16) | -0.19\* (0.09) |
| level of confidence during interview | 1.02\*\*\* (0.00) | 1.01\*\*\* (0.00) | 1.02\*\*\* (0.00) | 1.00\*\*\* (0.00) |
| White officer | 1.17 (0.13) | 1.15 (0.13) | 1.15 (0.13) | 0.03 (0.02) |
| male officer | 1.10 (0.11) | 1.09 (0.11) | 1.10 (0.11) | 0.02 (0.02) |
| Spouse’s years of education | 1.07 (0.05) |  |  |  |
| Spouse’s occupational prestige | 1.01 (0.00) |  |  |  |
| Spouse’s years at current job | 1.00 (0.00) |  |  |  |
| Spouse’s logged monthly income | 0.91 (0.27) |  |  |  |
| Parent’s occupational prestige |  | 1.01\* (0.00) |  |  |
| Parent’s years of education |  | 1.02 (0.01) |  |  |
| Parent’s obtained visa to other OECD country |  | 1.05 (0.13) |  |  |
| obtained visa to other OECD country\*years of education |  |  | 1.01 (0.03) | -0.00 (0.01) |
| Age\*parent of a child minor |  |  | 0.98 (0.02) | -0.01 (0.00) |
| Male\*parent of a child minor |  |  | 0.85 (0.20) | -0.05 (0.05) |
| age\*male |  |  | 0.99 (0.01) | -0.00 (0.00) |
| Married\*age |  |  | 1.03\* (0.01) | 0.01\* (0.00) |
| Married\*parent of child minor |  |  | 1.55 (0.63) | 0.1 (0.08) |
| Married\*male |  |  | 0.83 (0.21) | -0.04 (0.05) |
| Child of a retired parent \* number of siblings |  |  | 1.02 (0.09) | 0.00 (0.02) |
| Child of retired parent\*age |  |  | 1.00 (0.01) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| Child of retired parent \*parent of child minor |  |  | 1.29 (0.32) | 0.06 (0.05) |
| Observations | 2,397 | 2,397 | 2,397 | 2,397 |
| AIC. | 3,253.035 | 3,247.039 | 3,246.522 | 3,306.596 |
| Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (OR=odds ratios, LPM=model, AIC=Akaike Information Criteria) | | | | |

TABLE A2.14  
ODDS RATIOS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, SOCIAL TIES, AND INTERVIEW VARIABLES FOR ALL CHINESE WORKING-AGE B-VISA APPLICANTS, WEIGHTED

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | M9:OR | M10:OR | | M11:OR | | M12:OR |
|  | **Model 9** | **Model 10** | **Model 11** | | **Model 12** | |
| Variable |  |  |  | |  | |
| age | 1.005 (0.007) | 1.019\*\* (0.007) | 0.991 (0.011) | | 0.986 (0.011) | |
| male | 0.652\*\*\* (0.078) | 0.640\*\*\* (0.080) | 0.646\*\*\* (0.082) | | 0.642\*\*\* (0.083) | |
| pregnant | 0.782 (0.687) | 0.570 (0.914) | 0.557 (0.788) | | 0.473 (0.708) | |
| from Fujian province | 0.382 (0.273) | 0.332† (0.220) | 0.350 (0.242) | | 0.455 (0.297) | |
| internal migrant | 0.712\* (0.107) | 0.760† (0.117) | 0.776 (0.122) | | 0.792 (0.125) | |
| used agent | 0.863 (0.108) | 0.890 (0.118) | 0.899 (0.122) | | 0.902 (0.124) | |
| disadvantaged ethnicity | 0.784 (0.295) | 0.859 (0.340) | 0.972 (0.392) | | 0.905 (0.386) | |
| would immigrate if chance | 2.431\*\*\* (0.635) | 2.259\*\* (0.602) | 2.271\*\* (0.607) | | 2.380\*\* (0.636) | |
| other paid for trip |  | 1.090 (0.176) | 1.095 (0.177) | | 1.102 (0.182) | |
| obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 1.722\*\*\* (0.235) | 1.776\*\*\* (0.247) | | 1.700\*\*\* (0.241) | |
| occupational prestige |  | 1.009† (0.005) | 1.008 (0.005) | | 1.007 (0.006) | |
| logged income |  | 1.132 (0.101) | 1.141 (0.106) | | 1.130 (0.108) | |
| years of education |  | 1.114\*\*\* (0.033) | 1.113\*\*\* (0.034) | | 1.100\*\* (0.034) | |
| attended highly selective school |  | 2.296\*\* (0.684) | 2.295\*\* (0.682) | | 2.486\*\* (0.732) | |
| studied/studying STEM |  | 1.514\*\* (0.224) | 1.496\*\* (0.225) | | 1.497\*\* (0.230) | |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 1.124 (0.103) | 1.128 (0.107) | | 1.097 (0.104) | |
| married |  |  | 1.344† (0.221) | | 1.321† (0.218) | |
| number of siblings |  |  | 0.916 (0.056) | | 0.907 (0.056) | |
| years working at job |  |  | 1.031\*\* (0.012) | | 1.030\*\* (0.012) | |
| parent of child<20 |  |  | 0.656\*\* (0.100) | | 0.637\*\* (0.098) | |
| child of parent>59 |  |  | 1.223 (0.190) | | 1.253 (0.196) | |
| family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | 0.338\* (0.171) | | 0.321\* (0.164) | |
| satisfaction with salary |  |  |  | | 0.836 (0.146) | |
| level of applicant's confidence |  |  |  | | 1.014\*\*\* (0.003) | |
| white officer |  |  |  | | 1.098 (0.169) | |
| male officer |  |  |  | | 1.134 (0.155) | |
| N | 1329 | 1329 | 1329 | | 1329 | |
| BIC | 34321.7 | 1783.8 | 1800.2 | | 1801.8 | |

Notes: †p<0.1, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 (two-tailed tests). Standard errors in parentheses.

TABLE A2.15

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AVERAGE MARGINAL EFFECTS OF FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA  ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, SOCIAL TIES, & INTERVIEW | | | | |
| VARIABLES FOR CHINESE WORKING AGE B-VISA APPLICANTS ( MODELS 9-12), WEIGHTED DATA | | | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Variables | M9:AMEs | M10:AMEs | M11:AMEs | M12:AMEs |
| age | 0.001 (0.002) | 0.005\*\* (0.002) | -0.002 (0.003) | -0.003 (0.003) |
| male | -0.106\*\*\* (0.030) | -0.111\*\*\* (0.031) | -0.108\*\*\* (0.032) | -0.110\*\*\* (0.032) |
| pregnant | -0.061 (0.218) | -0.139 (0.397) | -0.145 (0.350) | -0.186 (0.371) |
| from Fujian province | -0.238 (0.177) | -0.273† (0.164) | -0.260 (0.172) | -0.195 (0.162) |
| internal migrant | -0.084\* (0.037) | -0.068† (0.038) | -0.063 (0.039) | -0.058 (0.039) |
| used agent | -0.037 (0.031) | -0.029 (0.033) | -0.026 (0.034) | -0.026 (0.034) |
| disadvantaged ethnicity | -0.060 (0.093) | -0.038 (0.098) | -0.007 (0.100) | -0.025 (0.106) |
| would immigrate if chance | 0.220\*\*\* (0.065) | 0.202\*\* (0.066) | 0.203\*\* (0.066) | 0.215\*\* (0.066) |
| other paid for trip |  | 0.021 (0.040) | 0.023 (0.040) | 0.024 (0.041) |
| obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 0.135\*\*\* (0.034) | 0.142\*\*\* (0.035) | 0.131\*\*\* (0.035) |
| occupational prestige |  | 0.002† (0.001) | 0.002 (0.001) | 0.002 (0.001) |
| logged income |  | 0.031 (0.022) | 0.033 (0.023) | 0.030 (0.024) |
| years of education |  | 0.027\*\*\* (0.007) | 0.027\*\*\* (0.008) | 0.024\*\* (0.008) |
| attended highly selective school |  | 0.206\*\* (0.074) | 0.206\*\* (0.074) | 0.226\*\* (0.073) |
| studied/studying STEM |  | 0.103\*\* (0.037) | 0.100\*\* (0.037) | 0.100\*\* (0.038) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 0.029 (0.023) | 0.030 (0.023) | 0.023 (0.024) |
| married |  |  | 0.073† (0.041) | 0.069† (0.041) |
| number of siblings |  |  | -0.022 (0.015) | -0.024 (0.015) |
| years working at job |  |  | 0.008\*\* (0.003) | 0.007\*\* (0.003) |
| parent of child<20 |  |  | -0.104\*\* (0.038) | -0.112\*\* (0.038) |
| child of parent>59 |  |  | 0.050 (0.038) | 0.056 (0.039) |
| family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | -0.269\* (0.126) | -0.282\* (0.127) |
| satisfaction with salary |  |  |  | -0.044 (0.043) |
| level of applicant's confidence |  |  |  | 0.003\*\*\* (0.001) |
| white officer |  |  |  | 0.023 (0.038) |
| male officer |  |  |  | 0.031 (0.034) |

Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (AME =average model effects, M=model, BIC=Akaike Information Criteria)

TABLE A2.16

ODDS RATIO FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA ACQUISITION N SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC TRAITS AND INDICATORS OF SES AND SOCIAL TIES, FOR CHINESE WORKING AGE B-VISA APPLICANTS (MODELS 9-12), UNWEIGHTED DATA

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | M9: OR | M10: OR | M11: OR | M12: OR |
| age | 1.005 (0.006) | 1.017\* (0.007) | 0.994 (0.011) | 0.988 (0.011) |
| male | 0.669\*\*\* (0.076) | 0.664\*\*\* (0.079) | 0.666\*\*\* (0.080) | 0.658\*\*\* (0.081) |
| pregnant | 0.707 (0.568) | 0.539 (0.483) | 0.491 (0.433) | 0.419 (0.374) |
| from Fujian province | 0.322 (0.228) | 0.294 (0.225) | 0.328 (0.253) | 0.412 (0.325) |
| internal migrant | 0.683\*\* (0.096) | 0.718\* (0.105) | 0.727\* (0.109) | 0.744† (0.113) |
| used agent | 0.853 (0.102) | 0.880 (0.110) | 0.889 (0.113) | 0.891 (0.114) |
| disadvantaged ethnicity | 0.875 (0.319) | 0.981 (0.371) | 1.090 (0.417) | 1.023 (0.395) |
| would immigrate if chance | 2.464\*\*\* (0.603) | 2.321\*\*\* (0.578) | 2.337\*\*\* (0.581) | 2.436\*\*\* (0.613) |
| other paid for trip |  | 1.174 (0.182) | 1.179 (0.184) | 1.192 (0.189) |
| obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 1.604\*\*\* (0.205) | 1.647\*\*\* (0.214) | 1.567\*\*\* (0.207) |
| occupational prestige |  | 1.010\* (0.005) | 1.009† (0.005) | 1.008 (0.005) |
| logged income |  | 1.153† (0.100) | 1.179† (0.104) | 1.165† (0.105) |
| years of education |  | 1.105\*\*\* (0.032) | 1.104\*\*\* (0.032) | 1.086\*\* (0.032) |
| attended highly selective school |  | 2.264\*\* (0.606) | 2.226\*\* (0.602) | 2.353\*\* (0.639) |
| studied/studying STEM |  | 1.567\*\* (0.219) | 1.547\*\* (0.220) | 1.571\*\* (0.227) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 1.104 (0.097) | 1.117 (0.101) | 1.085 (0.099) |
| married |  |  | 1.364\* (0.213) | 1.336† (0.212) |
| number of siblings |  |  | 0.937 (0.052) | 0.930 (0.053) |
| years working at job |  |  | 1.027\* (0.011) | 1.026\* (0.011) |
| parent of child<20 |  |  | 0.629\*\* (0.091) | 0.613\*\*\* (0.090) |
| child of parent>59 |  |  | 1.131 (0.165) | 1.166 (0.172) |
| family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | 0.412† (0.217) | 0.391† (0.208) |
| satisfaction with salary |  |  |  | 0.798 (0.132) |
| level of applicant's confidence |  |  |  | 1.015\*\*\* (0.003) |
| white officer |  |  |  | 1.097 (0.159) |
| male officer |  |  |  | 1.062 (0.137) |
| N | 1329 | 1329 | 1329 | 1329 |
| BIC | 1841.9 | 1790.6 | 1808.7 | 1807.6 |

|  |
| --- |
| Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (AME =average model effects, M=model, AIC=Akaike Information Criteria) |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TABLE A2.17  AVERAGE MARGINAL EFFECTS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, | | | | |
| SOCIAL TIES, AND INTERVIEW VARIABLES FOR CHINESE WORKING AGE ADULT B-VISA APPLICANTS (MODELS 9-12), UNWEIGHTED DATA | | | |  |
| Variables | M9 | M10 | M11 | M12 |
| age | 0.001 (0.002) | 0.004\* (0.002) | -0.001 (0.003) | -0.003 (0.003) |
| male | -0.100\*\*\* (0.028) | -0.101\*\*\* (0.029) | -0.101\*\*\* (0.030) | -0.104\*\*\* (0.030) |
| pregnant | -0.086 (0.199) | -0.153 (0.222) | -0.176 (0.219) | -0.216 (0.221) |
| from Fujian province | -0.281 (0.175) | -0.303 (0.189) | -0.276 (0.191) | -0.220 (0.195) |
| internal migrant | -0.095\*\* (0.035) | -0.082\* (0.036) | -0.079\* (0.037) | -0.073† (0.038) |
| used agent | -0.039 (0.029) | -0.032 (0.031) | -0.029 (0.031) | -0.029 (0.032) |
| disadvantaged ethnicity | -0.033 (0.090) | -0.005 (0.094) | 0.021 (0.095) | 0.006 (0.096) |
| would immigrate if chance | 0.223\*\*\* (0.061) | 0.209\*\*\* (0.062) | 0.210\*\*\* (0.066) | 0.221\*\*\* (0.062) |
| other paid for trip |  | 0.040 (0.038) | 0.041 (0.040) | 0.044 (0.039) |
| obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 0.117\*\*\* (0.032) | 0.124\*\*\* (0.035) | 0.111\*\*\* (0.033) |
| occupational prestige |  | 0.003\* (0.001) | 0.002† (0.001) | 0.002 (0.001) |
| logged income |  | 0.035† (0.021) | 0.041† (0.023) | 0.038† (0.022) |
| years of education |  | 0.025\*\*\* (0.007) | 0.024\*\*\* (0.008) | 0.021\*\* (0.007) |
| attended highly selective school |  | 0.202\*\* (0.066) | 0.198\*\* (0.074) | 0.212\*\* (0.067) |
| studied/studying STEM |  | 0.111\*\* (0.035) | 0.108\*\* (0.037) | 0.112\*\* (0.036) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 0.024 (0.022) | 0.027 (0.023) | 0.020 (0.023) |
| married |  |  | 0.077\* (0.041) | 0.072† (0.039) |
| number of siblings |  |  | -0.016 (0.015) | -0.018 (0.014) |
| years working at job |  |  | 0.007\* (0.003) | 0.006\* (0.003) |
| parent of child<20 |  |  | -0.115\*\* (0.038) | -0.121\*\*\* (0.036) |
| child of parent>59 |  |  | 0.030 (0.038) | 0.038 (0.037) |
| family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | -0.220† (0.126) | -0.233† (0.132) |
| satisfaction with salary |  |  |  | -0.056 (0.041) |
| level of applicant's confidence |  |  |  | 0.004\*\*\* (0.001) |
| white officer |  |  |  | 0.023 (0.036) |
| male officer |  |  |  | 0.015 (0.032) |

Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (AME =average model effects, M=model, BIC=Bayes Information Criteria)

TABLE A2.18

ODDS RATIOS FOR INTERACTION TERMS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, AND SOCIAL TIES INTERACTED WITH WHETHER APPLICANT APPLIED AT BEIJING. (MODELS 13-16), ALL APPLICANTS WEIGHTED

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | M13:OR | MR14:OR | MR15:OR | MR16:OR |
| beijing | 0.191\*\*\* (0.066) | 0.026† (0.055) | 0.011\* (0.025) | 0.022† (0.050) |
| beijing × age | 1.015† (0.008) | 1.010 (0.011) | 0.988 (0.017) | 0.992 (0.018) |
| beijing × male | 0.877 (0.182) | 0.850 (0.181) | 0.907 (0.195) | 0.956 (0.209) |
| beijing × pregnant | 0.000\*\*\* (0.000) | 0.000\*\*\* (0.000) | 0.000\*\*\* (0.000) | 0.000\*\*\* (0.000) |
| beijing × foreigner | 1.645 (1.982) | 2.096 (2.556) | 0.933 (1.228) | 0.823 (1.149) |
| beijing × from Fujian province | 0.000\*\*\* (0.000) | 0.000\*\*\* (0.000) | 0.000\*\*\* (0.000) | 0.000\*\*\* (0.000) |
| beijing × internal migrant | 0.827 (0.221) | 0.770 (0.213) | 0.787 (0.221) | 0.768 (0.219) |
| beijing × used agent | 1.056 (0.232) | 1.104 (0.232) | 1.075 (0.249) | 1.059 (0.248) |
| beijing × would immigrate if chance | 1.692 (0.592) | 1.557 (0.592) | 1.487 (0.547) | 1.515 (0.561) |
| beijing × other paid for trip |  | 1.271 (0.340) | 1.312 (0.352) | 1.325 (0.360) |
| beijing × obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 1.609† (0.431) | 1.410 (0.386) | 1.409 (0.392) |
| beijing × occupational prestige |  | 1.006 (0.006) | 1.005 (0.006) | 1.004 (0.006) |
| beijing × logged income |  | 1.055 (0.174) | 1.087 (0.186) | 1.051 (0.183) |
| beijing × years of education |  | 1.166\*\*\* (0.051) | 1.163\*\*\* (0.053) | 1.156\*\* (0.053) |
| beijing × attended highly selective school |  | 2.031† (0.782) | 1.967† (0.763) | 2.062† (0.803) |
| beijing × studied/studying STEM |  | 0.870 (0.224) | 0.835 (0.218) | 0.812 (0.214) |
| beijing × logged wealth in real estate property |  | 0.869 (0.139) | 0.969 (0.157) | 0.963 (0.156) |
| beijing × married |  |  | 1.413 (0.484) | 1.358 (0.465) |
| beijing × number of siblings |  |  | 1.191† (0.123) | 1.206† (0.125) |
| beijing × years working at job |  |  | 1.022 (0.021) | 1.019 (0.021) |
| beijing × parent of child<20 |  |  | 0.518\* (0.162) | 0.558† (0.176) |
| beijing × child of parent>59 |  |  | 1.261 (0.377) | 1.306 (0.399) |
| beijing × family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | 4.926\* (3.985) | 4.814† (3.986) |
| beijing × level of applicant's confidence |  |  |  | 0.997 (0.006) |
| beijing × white officer |  |  |  | 1.436 (0.449) |
| beijing × male officer |  |  |  | 0.536\*\* (0.126) |
| N | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 |
| BIC | 54904.1 | 3050.4 | 3100.5 | 3108.7 |

Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (OR =odds ratios, M=model, BIC=Bayes Information Criteria). Main Effects Omitted for reasons of parsimony.

TABLE A2.21

AVERAGE MARGINAL EFFECTS FOR INTERACTION TERMS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, AND SOCIAL TIES INTERACTED WITH WHETHER APPLICANT APPLIED AT BEIJING (MODELS 13-16), ALL APPLICANTS UNWEIGHTED

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | AME:M13 | AME:M14 | AME:M15 | AME:M16 |
| Beijing\*age | 0.001\*\*\* (0.001) | 0.001  (0.002) | -0.003\*\* (0.003) | -0.002 (0.032) |
| Beijing\*male | -0.088 (0.039) | -0.011 (0.05) | -0.003 (0.04) | 0.04 (0.21) |
| Beijing\* internal migrant | -0.074 (0.03) | -0.018 (0.20) | -0.018 (0.05) | -0.035 (0.22) |
| Beijing\* used a visa agent | -0.04 (0.035) | -0.005 (0.042) | -0.001 (0.042 | -0.004 (0.041) |
| Beijing\* Would immigrate to US if chance | 0.025 (0.067) | 0.056 (0.064) | 0.05 (0.06) | 0.389 (0.141) |
| Beijing\* someone else financing trip to the US |  | 0.032 (0.044) | 0.021 (0.043) | 0.027 (0.049) |
| Beijing\* obtained visa for travel to OECD country |  | 0.081 (0.049) | 0.002 (0.001) | 0.04 (0.049) |
| Beijng\*occupational prestige score (SIOPS) |  | 0.003 (0.001) | 0.002 (0.001) | 0.002 (0.001) |
| Beijing\*logged income |  | 0.022 (0.025) | 0.023 (0.025) | 0.02 (0.024) |
| Beijing\* satisfied with income |  | -0.077 (0.06) | -0.075 (0.06) | -0.074 (0.052) |
| years of education |  | -0.451\* (0.178) | -0.52 (0.103) | -0.519 (0.104) |
| Beijing\* attended selective school |  | 0.137\* (0.07) | -0.006\* (0.06) | 0.142\* (0.07) |
| Beijing\* studied/studying STEM |  | 0.02 (0.065) | 0.059 (0.04) | 0.051 (0.042) |
| Beijing\*logged wealth in real estate property |  | -0.014 (0.029) | 0.011 (0.03) | 0.014 (0.029) |
| Beijing\*married |  |  | 0.057 (0.063) | 0.048 (0.06) |
| Beijing\* number of siblings |  |  | 0.036\* (0.019) | 0.039\* (0.019) |
| Beijing\* years working at current job |  |  | 0.004 (0.004) | 0.003 (0.003) |
| Beijing\* parent of a child younger than 20 |  |  | -0.081 (0.058) | -0.07 (0.057) |
| Beijing\* child of a parent older than 59 |  |  | 0.04 (0.052) | 0.049 (0.05) |
| Beijing\*family member in the US can petition-sponsor them for immigrant visa |  |  | 0.399\* (0.142) | 0.387\* (0.141) |
| Beijing\*confidence |  |  |  | 0.005  (0.001) |
| Beijing\*White officer |  |  |  | -0.043\*\* (0.054) |
| Beijing\*male officer |  |  |  | -0.12 (0.042) |
| Observations | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 |
| AIC | 3,053.930 | 2,883.646 | 2,868.157 | 2,837.125 |

Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (AME =average model effects, M=model, AIC=Akaike Information Criteria)

|  |
| --- |
| TABLE A2.23  ODDS RATIOS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF WOULD IMMIGRATE IF CHANCE |
| ON CONTROLS, SES, ST, & INTERVIEW VARIABLES FOR ALL APPLICANTS (MODELS 17-20), WEIGHTED DATA |

| Variable | Model 17 | Model 18 | Model 19 | Model 20 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| age | 0.998\* (0.001) | 0.999 (0.001) | 1.000 (0.001) | 1.000 (0.001) |
| male | 0.999 (0.018) | 1.000 (0.017) | 0.998 (0.017) | 0.998 (0.017) |
| pregnant | 0.894 (0.097) | 0.886 (0.101) | 0.889 (0.102) | 0.889 (0.103) |
| foreigner | 0.951 (0.097) | 0.939 (0.096) | 0.932 (0.097) | 0.939 (0.099) |
| from Fujian province | 1.078 (0.112) | 1.067 (0.110) | 1.066 (0.111) | 1.060 (0.111) |
| internal migrant | 1.004 (0.020) | 1.007 (0.020) | 1.007 (0.020) | 1.006 (0.020) |
| used agent | 1.012 (0.020) | 1.017 (0.020) | 1.017 (0.020) | 1.017 (0.020) |
| disadvantaged ethnicity | 1.014 (0.059) | 1.020 (0.060) | 1.017 (0.060) | 1.020 (0.060) |
| other paid for trip |  | 1.007 (0.023) | 1.007 (0.023) | 1.008 (0.023) |
| obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 1.052\* (0.022) | 1.051\* (0.022) | 1.052\* (0.022) |
| occupational prestige |  | 0.999\*\* (0.000) | 0.999\*\* (0.000) | 0.999\*\* (0.000) |
| logged income |  | 1.005 (0.014) | 1.004 (0.014) | 1.005 (0.014) |
| years of education |  | 1.009\* (0.004) | 1.008\* (0.004) | 1.008\* (0.004) |
| attended highly selective school |  | 1.048 (0.038) | 1.047 (0.038) | 1.050 (0.038) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 1.008 (0.012) | 1.007 (0.012) | 1.007 (0.012) |
| studied/studying STEM |  | 0.974 (0.021) | 0.974 (0.021) | 0.975 (0.021) |
| married |  |  | 1.000 (0.026) | 1.001 (0.027) |
| number of siblings |  |  | 0.997 (0.009) | 0.997 (0.009) |
| years working at job |  |  | 0.998 (0.002) | 0.998 (0.002) |
| parent of child<20 |  |  | 1.002 (0.023) | 1.003 (0.023) |
| child of parent>59 |  |  | 1.019 (0.026) | 1.019 (0.026) |
| family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | 1.092 (0.086) | 1.091 (0.086) |
| level of applicant's confidence |  |  |  | 1.000 (0.000) |
| white officer |  |  |  | 1.016 (0.022) |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| male officer |  |  |  | 0.975 (0.019) |
| N | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 |
| BIC | 361.464 | 418.3835 | 463.8202 | 486.3709 |

Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (OR=odds ratios, M=model, AIC=Akaike Information Criteria)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | TABLE A2.24 |  |  |  |  |
| AVERAGE MARGINAL EFFECTS FROM LOGISTIC REGRESSION OF VISA | | | | | | | |
| ACQUISITION ON CONTROLS, SES, ST, AND INTERVIEW VARIABLES FOR ALL APPLICANTS (MODELS 17-20), WEIGHTED DATA | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | M17: AME | M18: AME | M19: AME | M20: AME |
| age | -0.0016\* (0.0007) | -0.0006 (0.0009) | -0.0000 (0.0014) | 0.0001 (0.0014) |
| male | -0.0010 (0.0176) | 0.0001 (0.0174) | -0.0016 (0.0173) | -0.0017 (0.0173) |
| pregnant | -0.1120 (0.1083) | -0.1207 (0.1141) | -0.1181 (0.1149) | -0.1172 (0.1157) |
| foreigner | -0.0503 (0.1017) | -0.0632 (0.1026) | -0.0704 (0.1044) | -0.0629 (0.1058) |
| from Fujian province | 0.0756 (0.1042) | 0.0650 (0.1032) | 0.0639 (0.1037) | 0.0584 (0.1049) |
| internal migrant | 0.0037 (0.0196) | 0.0073 (0.0194) | 0.0067 (0.0196) | 0.0065 (0.0196) |
| used agent | 0.0118 (0.0200) | 0.0171 (0.0199) | 0.0173 (0.0199) | 0.0166 (0.0199) |
| disadvantaged ethnicity | 0.0140 (0.0585) | 0.0197 (0.0589) | 0.0170 (0.0586) | 0.0199 (0.0584) |
| other paid for trip |  | 0.0070 (0.0226) | 0.0074 (0.0226) | 0.0082 (0.0225) |
| obtained visa for other OECD country |  | 0.0506\* (0.0207) | 0.0499\* (0.0208) | 0.0512\* (0.0210) |
| occupational prestige |  | -0.0012\*\* (0.0004) | -0.0011\*\* (0.0004) | -0.0012\*\* (0.0004) |
| logged income |  | 0.0052 (0.0141) | 0.0043 (0.0142) | 0.0048 (0.0143) |
| years of education |  | 0.0090\* (0.0040) | 0.0082\* (0.0040) | 0.0083\* (0.0040) |
| attended highly selective school |  | 0.0468 (0.0364) | 0.0462 (0.0362) | 0.0483 (0.0363) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 0.0084 (0.0119) | 0.0071 (0.0120) | 0.0073 (0.0120) |
| studied/studying STEM |  | -0.0266 (0.0213) | -0.0262 (0.0211) | -0.0252 (0.0212) |
| logged wealth in real estate property |  | 0.019\* (0.009) | 0.017† (0.01) | 0.017† (0.012) |
| married |  |  | 0.0001 (0.0265) | 0.0013 (0.0265) |
| number of siblings |  |  | -0.0031 (0.0090) | -0.0027 (0.0090) |
| years working at job |  |  | -0.0017 (0.0016) | -0.0017 (0.0016) |
| parent of child<20 |  |  | 0.0021 (0.0231) | 0.0026 (0.0231) |
| child of parent>59 |  |  | 0.0189 (0.0252) | 0.0183 (0.0252) |
| family in US can sponsor immigrant visa |  |  | 0.0876 (0.0791) | 0.0873 (0.0792) |
| level of applicant's confidence |  |  |  | -0.0004 (0.0005) |
| white officer |  |  |  | 0.0155 (0.0221) |
| male officer |  |  |  | -0.0252 (0.0194) |
| N | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 | 2397 |
| BIC | 361.464 | 418.3835 | 463.8202 | 486.3709 |

.

Notes:†p<0.1, \*p <0.05, \*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < .001 (two-tailed tests) (AME =average model effects, M=model, AIC=Akaike Information Criteria)